

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FI                    | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/775,255                           | 10/775,255 02/11/2004 |            | Masahiro Takimoto    | 26CT-012-CON        | 9889             |  |
| 23400                                | 7590                  | 05/08/2006 | EXAMIN               |                     | INER             |  |
| POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC                  |                       |            |                      | TO, TOAN C          |                  |  |
| 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE<br>SUITE 101 |                       |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| RESTON, VA 20191                     |                       |            |                      | 3616                |                  |  |
| ,                                    |                       |            |                      |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/775,255      | TAKIMOTO ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
| Toan C. To      | 3616            |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Toan C. To  | 3616   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add  | ress   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL  | LOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>   | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one<br>with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply most           | idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C<br>ust be filed within one | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)<br>of the following |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | 06.07(f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL                                 | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi                   | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th  | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since               |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belonger.  | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);   | TE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  |   | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1)   |   | mnliant Amendment  | (DTOL 324)   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   | inpliant Amendment   | (FTOL-324).  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).  |   | timely filed amendme   | ent canceling the                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 19,21,23,32,34,38 and 39.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22,26-31 and 35.   |   | Il be entered and an e   | explanation of                                     |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                            | Is to provide a I).                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach  | ned.   |  |  |  |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowar  | ce because:  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N   | lo(s).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  | 1 2006  | TITAK  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAUL N. DICKSON To any To any   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CUDEDINCODY DATEST TYANNICO Patent Examinar   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

**Application No. 10/775,255** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Recitation "only" in the proposed amendment claims 19 and 32 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..